

Fibre intake among the Belgian population by sex–age and sex–education groups and its association with BMI and waist circumference

Yi Lin¹, Inge Huybrechts^{1*}, Stefanie Vandevijvere², Selin Bolca³, Willem De Keyzer^{1,4},
Stephanie De Vriese⁵, Anja Polet¹, Melissa De Neve¹, Herman Van Oyen², John Van Camp⁶,
Guy De Backer¹ and Stefaan De Henauw^{1,4}

¹Unit Nutrition and Food Safety, Department of Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Ghent University, De Pintelaan 185, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

²Unit of Epidemiology, Scientific Institute of Public Health, J. Wytsmanstraat 14, B-1050 Brussels, Belgium

³Laboratory for Bioinformatics and Computational Genomics (BIOBIX), Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

⁴Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Health Care Vesalius, University College Ghent, Keramiekstraat 80, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

⁵Alpro Foundation vzw, Vlamingstraat 28, 8560 Wevelgem, Belgium

⁶Department of Food Safety and Food Quality, Ghent University, Coupure Links 653, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

(Received 4 June 2010 – Revised 5 November 2010 – Accepted 8 November 2010 – First published online 29 March 2011)

Abstract

The objectives of the present study were to assess total dietary fibre intake and the main contributors to fibre intake in the Belgian population by sex–age and sex–education groups and to investigate its relationship with BMI and waist circumference (WC). The participants of the Belgian food consumption survey (2004) were randomly selected. Information about food intake was collected using two repeated, non-consecutive 24 h recall interviews. A total of 3083 individuals (≥ 15 years; 1546 men and 1537 women) completed both interviews. The main contributors to total fibre intake (17.8 g/d) were cereals and cereal products (34%; 5.9 g/d), potatoes and other tubers (18.6%; 3.3 g/d), fruits (14.7%; 2.8 g/d) and vegetables (14.4%; 2.6 g/d). Legume fibre intake was extremely low (0.672%; 0.139 g/d). In all sex–age and sex–education groups, total fibre intake was below the recommendations of the Belgian Superior Health Council. Men (21 g/d) consumed significantly more fibre than women (17.3 g/d) ($P < 0.001$). Lower educated men and higher educated women reported the highest fibre intake. A significant inverse association was found between total fibre intake and WC ($\beta = -0.118$, $P < 0.001$). Fruit-derived fibre was positively associated with WC ($\beta = 0.731$, $P = 0.001$). In summary, total fibre intake was inversely associated with WC, whereas fruit-derived fibre intake was positively associated with WC in the Belgian population.

Key words: Dietary fibre: Food consumption surveys: Waist circumference: BMI

Dietary fibre is one of the nutritional compounds of vegetable foods, defined by the Codex Committee on Nutrition and Foods for Special Dietary Uses as carbohydrate polymers with ten or more monomeric units, which are not hydrolysed by endogenous enzymes in the small intestine⁽¹⁾. Dietary fibre can be classified into water-soluble and water-insoluble fibre. Water-soluble fibres can delay small-bowel absorption, which may reduce cholesterol absorption, but also pancreatic enzyme activity and protein digestion^(2,3). Subsequently, the colonic fermentation of fibres results in the production of gases and SCFA⁽⁴⁾, which causes a longer-lasting satiety and lowers the glycaemic index of foods, and, consequently, attenuates the insulin response^(5,6). Due to its anti-nutritive

properties and non-digestibility, water-insoluble fibre can increase the bulkiness of stool and faecal mass, thereby shortening transit time⁽³⁾.

A decreased dietary fibre intake in Western countries is found to be associated with a higher prevalence of chronic diseases^(7,8). Epidemiological data suggest an inverse association between the consumption of dietary fibre and chronic diseases⁽⁹⁾ and a positive association with an overall healthier profile (lower blood pressure, lower cholesterol levels and improved insulin sensitivity)⁽¹⁰⁾. In the past decades, the decline in dietary fibre has been hypothesised as a possible determinant for increased adiposity, metabolic disorders and CVD⁽¹¹⁾. Several recent studies have reported that dietary fibre intake

Abbreviations: BSHC, Belgian Superior Health Council; WC, waist circumference.

* **Corresponding author:** I. Huybrechts, fax +32 9 332 4994, email inge.huybrechts@ugent.be

may protect against adiposity and metabolic disorders^(12–14). Moreover, recent cohort studies and randomised control trials have shown a significant inverse association between fibre consumption and the risk of developing obesity⁽¹⁵⁾ and the metabolic syndrome⁽¹⁶⁾. Therefore, the intake of dietary fibres is strongly recommended by the WHO (>25 g/d) and the Belgian Superior Health Council (BSHC) (40 g/d for male adolescents (14–18 years); 30 g/d for adults and female adolescents (14–18 years))^(17,18) due to the health benefits.

These fibre recommendations have been translated into food-based dietary guidelines⁽¹⁹⁾ that have been developed to help the general population in choosing a healthy diet. Vandevijvere *et al.*⁽²⁰⁾ compared the Belgian food-based dietary guidelines, which are based on our Belgian dietary recommendations, with the results derived from the Belgian food consumption survey. This comparison showed that Belgian dietary habits deviate importantly from the Belgian dietary guidelines. Mainly, the intake of plant products (fruits and vegetables), which are important for our fibre intake, was very low in Belgium⁽²⁰⁾. Vandevijvere *et al.* reported that only 38 and 47% of the Belgian population consumed vegetables and fruits, respectively, whereas 82 and 52% of the Belgian population consumed bread and cereals, and potatoes and other tubers, respectively, on a daily basis. Men consumed more bread and cereal products, potatoes and grain products, and fruits than women did, though no differences were found in vegetable intake. The consumption of bread and cereals, and potatoes and grains decreased by increasing age category. The daily consumption of vegetables and fruits, however, was highest among the subpopulation aged 60–74 years old and lowest in the adolescents aged 15–18 years. Furthermore, Huybrechts & De Henauw⁽²¹⁾ showed that the majority of Flemish preschoolers aged 2.5–6.5 years old did not meet the recommended fibre intake of the BSHC. Matthys *et al.*⁽²²⁾ reported that Flemish adolescents (13–18 years) also did not reach the fibre requirements of the BSHC.

When considering the above-mentioned gaps in the consumption of plant-rich foods in our Belgian diet and knowing that the prevalence of obesity increased in the past years^(23,24), it would be interesting to get more insight into the intake of dietary fibres among our Belgian population and its association with overweight and obesity.

Therefore, the main objectives of the present study were to evaluate dietary fibre intake among the Belgian population and to explore possible differences in intake among sex-age and sex-education groups. Furthermore, in view of the health effects of fibres related to obesity, the association between fibre intake and BMI and waist circumference (WC) was assessed.

Materials and methods

Sampling design and data collection

For the purpose of the present study, data from the Belgian national food consumption survey were used⁽²⁵⁾. This survey was performed in 2004 and followed, to a large extent, the recommendations of the European Food Consumption

Survey Method project, defining a method to monitor food consumption in nationally representative samples of all age-sex categories⁽²⁶⁾. The target population was defined as all persons of 15 years and older residing in Belgium and willing to participate. Institutionalised individuals, persons not able to speak one of the national languages or physically or mentally unable to be interviewed were excluded from the survey. A stratified multi-stage sampling design was used to select the participants from the whole country covering regions of Flanders, Brussels and Walloon. The population was stratified in four sex-age groups (15–18, 19–59, 60–74 and ≥75 years). Approximately 400 individuals were included in each sex-age stratum. Adolescents were defined as participants being 15–18 years of age.

The present study was conducted according to the guidelines laid down in the Declaration of Helsinki, and all procedures involving human subjects were approved by the medical ethical committee of the Scientific Institute of Public Health in Brussels. Written or verbal informed consent was obtained from all subjects. Verbal consent was witnessed and formally recorded.

Dietary intake assessment

Two repeated, non-consecutive 24 h dietary recall interviews were used to collect the food consumption data. During the first home visit, a 24 h dietary recall was performed through a computer-assisted face-to-face interview by a well-trained dietitian. The second 24 h dietary recall was repeated 2–8 weeks later (median 3 weeks). Interviews were randomly allocated to different days of the week and over a 12-month period in order to include day-to-day and seasonal variations. During the interviews, information (quantities, brand names and recipes used) was collected on all foods and beverages consumed during the preceding day.

The validated software package EPIC-SOFT (International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), Lyon, France), designed to obtain a very detailed description and quantification of all foods consumed, was used to obtain standardised 24 h dietary recall interviews⁽²⁷⁾. Additionally, a book with coloured photographs of foods in different portion sizes was used to support the quantification.

In the present study, 2288 different food items (from seventeen food groups and 107 food subgroups) were consumed by the respondents. Dietary fibre intake was estimated based on the American Association of Analytical Chemists method⁽²⁸⁾ used in the Belgian NUBEL⁽²⁹⁾, the Dutch NEVO⁽³⁰⁾ and the USDA food composition databases⁽³¹⁾. Dietary fibre, as defined by the American Association of Analytical Chemists, includes NSP and indigestible carbohydrates resistant to digestive enzymes. Energy-adjusted dietary fibre intake was also calculated using the formula total fibre intake (g/d)/total energy intake (MJ/d).

Level of education

Participants were asked to report the highest degree that they had obtained during the first interview. Four categories of

education level were created: (1) lower secondary; (2) vocational, technical or art; (3) general secondary; (4) higher education (bachelor, master or above).

Anthropometric measurements

Weight (kg) and height (m) were self-reported by the respondents, while WC was measured by the trained dietitians at home after 24 h recall interviews. Pregnant women reported their weight before pregnancy. BMI was calculated as weight (kg)/height (m²). Adult participants were classified into four BMI categories according to the WHO definition: underweight (<18.5 kg/m²); normal weight (18.5–24.9 kg/m²); overweight (25.0–29.9 kg/m²); obesity (≥30.0 kg/m²)⁽³²⁾. The BMI of adolescent participants was classified into the same four categories according to Cole *et al.*⁽³³⁾ (normal weight, overweight and obesity) and Flemish cut-off values (for underweight only)⁽³⁴⁾. The cut-off criteria for adults' WC were as follows: normal, <80 cm (women) and <94 cm (men); normal to borderline, 80–88 cm (women) and 94–102 cm (men); abdominal obesity, ≥88 cm (women) and ≥102 cm (men)⁽³⁵⁾. The cut-off criteria of WC for adolescents were based on Taylor *et al.*⁽³⁶⁾.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive and statistical analyses by the sex–age and sex–education groups were performed using SPSS for Windows version 15 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Results were considered statistically significant at an α two-tailed level of 0.05. Tests for normality and equality of the variances were performed using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene's test, respectively. To obtain a normal distribution, the dietary total fibre intakes and total fibre intake from main sources were log-transformed. Descriptive statistics include mean intakes of total fibre and food group-specific fibre intakes, BMI and WC with their standard errors. Student's *t* test, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction was used to compare means between the groups.

Associations between BMI or WC (separate dependent variables) and total fibre intake or food group-specific fibre intake (independent variables) were investigated by stepwise multiple linear regression via three models: (1) unadjusted model; (2) model adjusted for age, sex, region and education level; (3) model further adjusted for total energy intake and the interactions based on model 2. The null hypothesis posited that there is no association between fibre intake and the BMI or WC ($H_0: \beta = 0$). The potential effect of confounding factors such as age, sex, region and education level was analysed by stratification to obtain the second coefficient estimate (β in model 2). Additionally, interactions between the independent variable and all effect factors and between the effect factors were examined, resulting in the third coefficient estimate (β from model 3). Total fibre and food group-specific fibre intakes were investigated in separate models because of collinearity. Outliers were removed according to the residual method.

Results

Study population

In total, 3083 individuals (1546 men and 1537 women) out of 7543 contacted individuals participated in the survey and completed both 24 h dietary recalls. Among all 3083 individuals, 2961 participants reported their education level, 3055 reported their weight and height, and for 2875 subjects, WC was measured.

BMI and WC of all participants differed according to sex, age and education level (Table 1). The prevalence of overweight or obese men was higher compared with women, with a mean BMI of 25 and 24 kg/m², respectively. Of all the participants, 42% of women and 29% of men were abdominally obese. The prevalence of overweight or obese subjects, based on both BMI and WC, was the highest in the groups of 60–75 and ≥75 years and in the subjects with the lowest educational level.

Total fibre intake

The mean total fibre intake in Belgium was 17.8 (1.4–57) g/d. Men consumed significantly more fibre than women ($P < 0.001$), with the lowest and highest intakes reported by the 15–18 and the 60–74 years of sex–age groups, respectively (Table 2). With regard to the level of education, men in the lowest education group and women with a higher education level had the highest mean total fibre intakes (21 and 16.7 g/d, respectively). The energy-adjusted dietary fibre intakes, on the other hand, were significantly higher in women compared with men ($P < 0.001$), increased by ageing and was the highest in the lowest educated women in the sex–education groups.

The mean dietary fibre intake of the Belgian population was approximately half of the recommended intake by the BSHC (Table 2)⁽¹⁷⁾, with the majority (63% men and 89% women) not meeting the recommendations. Fibre intakes of Belgian adults were too low according to several international guidelines for adults, such as the WHO (>25 g/d)⁽¹⁸⁾, the USDA (men, 38 g/d; women, 25 g/d)⁽³¹⁾, the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (men aged 19–50 years, 38 g/d; men ≥50 years, 30 g/d; women aged 19–50 years, 25 g/d; women ≥50 years, 21 g/d)⁽³⁷⁾ and the British Nutrition Foundation (18.0 g/d NSP, approximately 24 g/d total dietary fibre)⁽³⁸⁾. Likewise, the adolescent population (men, 17.8 g/d; women, 15.0 g/d) reported intakes below the dietary reference intakes of the Institute of Medicine of the National Academies (men, 38 g/d; women, 26 g/d)⁽³⁷⁾ and Williams' Age plus 5 guideline (19.0–23 g/d)⁽³⁹⁾.

Main food groups contributing to fibre intake

Five food groups contributed to 82% of the total fibre intake in Belgium (corresponding with a mean intake of 14.7 g/d). In all sex–age (Table 3) and sex–education (Table 4) groups, cereals and cereal products contributed most (34%; 5.9 g/d), with bread, crispbread and rusks as the main sources within this category, followed by potatoes and other tubers

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants of the Belgian national food consumption survey (2004–5)
(Mean values with their standard errors)

Characteristics	Population <i>n</i>	BMI (kg/m ²)*						Waist circumference (cm)†				
		Mean	SEM	Prevalence (%)				Mean	SEM	Prevalence (%)		
				Underweight	Normal	Overweight	Obesity			Normal	Borderline	Abdominal obesity
Sex	3083											
Men	1546	25	0.1	3.1	52	34	10.1	88	0.7	43	27	29
Women	1537	24	0.1	5.7	58	25	10.5	80	0.7	39	19	42
Age (years)	3083											
15–18	762	21	0.1	9.7	79	10.2	1.3	76	0.6	72	20	7.6
19–59	828	24	0.2	3.7	60	26	10.1	81	1.0	51	24	25
60–75	789	26	0.2	1.0	36	44	18.6	91	0.9	20	27	53
≥ 75	704	25	0.2	3.2	46	40	10.8	90	1.2	19	22	59
Education	2961											
Lower secondary or less	967	26	0.1	2.2	41	40	16.5	97	0.4	21	23	56
Vocational, technical or art	734	24	0.2	5.4	60	27	7.6	87	0.5	49	26	25
General secondary	530	22	0.2	6.0	70	20	4.2	83	0.6	57	22	21
Higher	730	24	0.2	4.7	60	27	8.6	89	0.5	47	23	30
Region												
Flanders	955	24	0.1	3.7	58	29	9.5	84	0.6	41	24	35
Brussels	233	24	0.3	6.5	58	27	7.8	83	1.5	43	28	29
Walloon	918	25	0.1	5.1	50	33	12.5	86	0.8	40	21	38

*BMI categories of adults: underweight, <18.5 kg/m²; normal weight, 18.5–24.9 kg/m²; overweight, 25.0–29.9 kg/m²; obesity, ≥30.0 kg/m² (32). For adolescents, the categories were assigned according to the cut-off values for children and adolescents developed by Cole *et al.* (33) and according to the Flanders growth charts (34).

†Waist circumference categories for adults: normal, <94 cm (men) and <80 cm (women); borderline, 94–102 cm (men) and 80–88 cm (women); obesity, ≥102 cm (men) and ≥88 cm (women) (35). For adolescents, the categories were assigned according to the cut-off values given by Taylor *et al.* (36).

Table 2. Reported and recommended daily total dietary fibre intakes and the percentage of the subjects in the agreement with the recommendations of the Belgian Superior Health Council⁽¹⁷⁾, stratified in sex–age and sex–education groups (Mean values with their standard errors)

Stratification	Total fibre intake										Subjects (%)†	
	Men					Women*					Men	Women
	Reported (g/d)		Energy-adjusted (g/(MJ × d))		Recommended (g/d)	Reported (g/d)		Energy-adjusted (g/(MJ × d))		Recommended (g/d)		
Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean		SEM	Mean	SEM				
Age (years)												
15–18	17.8 ^a	0.4	1.7 ^a	0.1	40	15.0 ^a	0.3	2.1 ^a	0.1	30	0.0	0.8
19–59	19.5 ^a	0.4	2.0 ^b	0.1	30	15.8 ^a	0.3	2.4 ^b	0.1	30	12.4	2.1
60–75	21 ^b	0.4	2.4 ^c	0.1	30	17.5 ^b	0.4	2.8 ^c	0.1	30	14.3	5.6
≥ 75	19.8 ^a	0.4	2.5 ^c	0.1	30	16.2 ^a	0.4	2.7 ^c	0.1	30	10.2	2.7
Education												
Lower secondary or less	21 ^a	0.4	2.5 ^a	0.1	30	16.3 ^a	0.3	2.6 ^a	0.1	30	14.6	3.5
Vocational, technical or art education	19.1 ^b	0.4	2.0 ^b	0.1	30	15.1 ^a	0.3	2.3 ^b	0.1	30	9.6	0.9
General secondary education	18.8 ^a	0.5	2.0 ^b	0.1	30	16.5 ^b	0.4	2.3 ^b	0.1	30	6.3	3.2
Higher education	19.1 ^a	0.4	2.1 ^b	0.1	30	16.7 ^b	0.3	2.5 ^a	0.1	30	1.1	3

^{a,b,c} Mean values within a column with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction after log transformation).

* Mean values were significantly different between men and women ($P < 0.05$; Student's *t* test after log transformation).

† Percentage of participants meeting the recommended dietary fibre intake of the Belgian Health Superior Council.

(18.6%, 3.3 g/d), fruits (14.7%, 2.8 g/d) and vegetables (14.4%, 2.6 g/d). Interestingly, the subgroup 'bread, crispbread and rusks' of cereals and cereal products contributed significantly less in the adolescent group compared with the older groups ($P < 0.05$), whereas 'other cereal products', in contrast, contributed most to adolescents' intake. The intake of legume-derived fibres was extremely low (0.672%; 0.139 g/d) in all sex-age and sex-education groups. The contributions of fruits and vegetables were the largest in the elderly population (60–74 years in particular) and the lowest in adolescents. In general, potato-derived fibre intakes decreased with the level of education. The contribution of other cereal products was the lowest for lower secondary educated participants, whereas higher educated subjects consumed more fibres from vegetables and fruits.

Anthropometric indices and total and specific fibre intake

Associations between BMI or WC, on the one hand, and total or food group-specific fibre intake, on the other hand, were investigated by stepwise multiple linear regression (Table 5). In model 1, crude BMI was associated with fibre intake from potatoes and other tubers, vegetables, fruits, and cereals and cereal products. After adjustment for age, sex, region and education level (model 2), a significant association was observed between BMI and the intake of fibres from cereals and cereal products ($\beta = -0.045$, $P = 0.025$), but no association was

found after adjustment for energy intakes and interactions with and between the effect factors (model 3). According to model 3, WC, on the other hand, was inversely related to the total fibre intakes ($\beta = -0.118$, $P < 0.001$) and positively related to fruit-derived fibre intakes ($\beta = 0.731$, $P = 0.001$).

Discussion

Total and food group-specific fibre intake

The total daily fibre intakes in this large population-based national nutrition survey were on average 17.8 g and below (men 63%, women 89%) the recommendations proposed by the BSHC⁽¹⁷⁾.

Compared with the study reported by Matthys *et al.*⁽²²⁾ involving Flemish adolescents (13–17 years) living in Ghent and using 7 d food records, the male adolescents participating in the present study consumed considerably less dietary fibre than Ghent adolescents (mean 21 g/d), whereas the female adolescents from Ghent had a slightly higher average dietary fibre intake (mean 15.9 g/d). After adjustment for energy intake, however, male adolescents from the Belgian food consumption survey had slightly lower intakes than reported in the study of Matthys *et al.* (1.8 g/MJ × d), while female adolescents had higher intakes than those in the study of Matthys *et al.* (1.9 g/10 MJ).

Table 3. Contribution (g/d) of different food groups to the total fibre intake among the study population, stratified by the sex-age groups (Mean values with their standard errors)

Food sources	Age (years)							
	15–18		19–59		60–75		≥75	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Men								
Total intake of main sources	14.2 ^a	0.3	16.4 ^b	0.4	17.6 ^c	0.4	16.7 ^b	0.4
Potatoes and other tubers	3.5 ^a	0.1	3.5 ^a	0.2	4.4 ^b	0.1	4.4 ^b	0.2
Vegetables	2.1 ^a	0.1	2.6 ^b	0.1	3.1 ^c	0.1	2.6 ^c	0.1
Legumes	0.097 ^a	0.029	0.255 ^a	0.073	0.220 ^a	0.060	0.137 ^a	0.044
Fruits								
All fruit	1.5 ^a	0.1	2.5 ^b	0.2	3.3 ^c	0.2	3.2 ^c	0.2
Fresh fruit	1.4 ^a	0.1	2.2 ^b	0.1	3.1 ^c	0.2	3.1 ^c	0.2
Nuts and seeds	0.115 ^a	0.030	0.243 ^b	0.051	0.207 ^a	0.057	0.083 ^a	0.040
Cereals and cereal products								
All	7.0 ^a	0.2	7.6 ^a	0.3	6.7 ^a	0.2	6.4 ^b	0.2
Bread, crispbread and rusks	5.1 ^a	0.2	6.4 ^b	0.3	6.1 ^b	0.2	5.9 ^b	0.2
Other cereal products	1.9 ^a	0.1	1.2 ^b	0.1	0.557 ^c	0.051	0.483 ^c	0.063
Women								
Total intake of main sources*	11.7 ^a	0.3	12.9 ^b	0.3	14.7 ^c	0.3	13.4 ^b	0.3
Potatoes and other tubers*	2.2 ^a	0.1	2.1 ^a	0.1	3.0 ^b	0.1	3.2 ^b	0.1
Vegetables	1.9 ^a	0.1	2.7 ^b	0.1	2.9 ^b	0.1	2.5 ^a	0.1
Legumes	0.060 ^a	0.019	0.141 ^a	0.043	0.102 ^a	0.038	0.097 ^a	0.037
Fruits								
All fruit*	2.4 ^a	0.1	2.7 ^b	0.1	3.7 ^c	0.2	3.3 ^c	0.2
Fresh fruit*	2.2 ^a	0.1	2.5 ^b	0.1	3.6 ^c	0.2	3.3 ^c	0.2
Nuts and seeds	0.191 ^a	0.042	0.141 ^a	0.030	0.094 ^a	0.023	0.065 ^b	0.037
Cereals and cereal products								
All*	5.1 ^a	0.1	5.3 ^a	0.2	5.0 ^a	0.2	4.2 ^b	0.1
Bread, crispbread and rusks*	3.7 ^a	0.1	4.3 ^b	0.2	4.5 ^b	0.1	4.0 ^b	0.1
Other cereal products	1.5 ^{a*}	0.1	1.0 ^b	0.1	0.466 ^c	0.053	0.224 ^d	0.029

a,b,c,d Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction after log transformation).

* Mean values were significantly different between men and women ($P < 0.05$, Student's *t* test after log transformation).

Table 4. Contribution (g/d) of different food groups to the total fibre intake among the study population, stratified in sex–education groups (Mean values with their standard errors)

Food sources	Education							
	Lower secondary or less		Vocational, technical or art education		General secondary education		Higher education	
	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM	Mean	SEM
Men								
Total intake of main sources	17.6 ^a	0.4	15.8 ^b	0.4	15.3 ^b	0.4	15.9 ^b	0.4
Potatoes and other tubers	4.7 ^a	0.1	4.2 ^b	0.2	3.2 ^c	0.2	3.3 ^c	0.1
Vegetables	2.7 ^a	0.1	2.4 ^b	0.1	2.3 ^b	0.1	2.8 ^a	0.1
Legumes	0.240 ^a	0.069	0.149 ^a	0.044	0.127 ^a	0.042	0.185 ^a	0.049
Fruits								
All fruit	2.9 ^a	0.2	2.1 ^b	0.1	2.3 ^b	0.2	3.0 ^a	0.2
Fresh fruit	2.8 ^a	0.2	1.9 ^b	0.1	2.2 ^b	0.2	2.8 ^a	0.2
Nuts and seeds	0.118 ^a	0.036	0.132 ^a	0.034	0.140 ^a	0.038	0.236 ^b	0.060
Cereals and cereal products								
All	7.0 ^a	0.2	7.1 ^a	0.2	7.3 ^a	0.3	6.6 ^a	0.2
Bread, crispbread and rusks	6.4 ^a	0.2	6.0 ^a	0.2	5.6 ^b	0.2	5.4 ^b	0.2
Other cereal products	0.560 ^a	0.056	1.1 ^b	0.1	1.7 ^c	0.1	1.1 ^b	0.1
Women								
Total intake of main sources*	13.5 ^a	0.3	12.2 ^b	0.3	13.2 ^a	0.4	13.6 ^a	0.3
Potatoes and other tubers*	3.2 ^a	0.1	2.5 ^b	0.1	2.5 ^b	0.1	2.1 ^c	0.1
Vegetables	2.5 ^a	0.1	2.2 ^b	0.1	2.5 ^a	0.1	3.0 ^c	0.1
Legumes	0.135 ^a	0.038	0.108 ^a	0.049	0.100 ^a	0.031	0.036 ^a	0.019
Fruits								
All fruit*	2.9 ^a	0.1	2.8 ^a	0.2	3.2 ^a	0.2	3.2 ^a	0.2
Fresh fruit*	2.9 ^a	0.1	2.6 ^b	0.2	3.0 ^a	0.2	3.0 ^a	0.2
Nuts and seeds	0.040 ^a	0.016	0.150 ^a	0.037	0.191 ^b	0.046	0.177 ^a	0.044
Cereals and cereal products								
All	4.8 ^a	0.1	4.7 ^a	0.2	5.0 ^a	0.2	5.3 ^b	0.2
Bread, crispbread and rusks*	4.4 ^a	0.1	3.7 ^b	0.1	3.9 ^b	0.2	4.3 ^a	0.2
Other cereal products*	0.403 ^a	0.104	1.0 ^b	0.1	1.1 ^b	0.1	0.925 ^b	0.065

^{a,b,c} Mean values within a row with unlike superscript letters were significantly different ($P < 0.05$, ANOVA with Bonferroni correction after log transformation).

* Mean values were significantly different between men and women ($P < 0.05$; Student's *t* test after log transformation).

The dietary fibre intakes of the Belgian population were comparable to the European levels (male adolescents, 14.0–26 g/d; female adolescents, 14.0–22 g/d; male adults, 18.0–26 g/d; female adults, 16.0–26 g/d; elderly men, 15.0–31 g/d, and elderly women, 16.0–23 g/d)⁽⁴⁰⁾. Belgian men had similar dietary fibre intakes as Catalan men in Spain using the same method in 10- to 75-year-old subjects, while Belgian women in all age groups consumed substantially less dietary fibres than Spanish women (Spanish 17.0 g/d)⁽⁴¹⁾. Additionally, Spanish male adolescents (mean 18.7 g/d) had slightly higher dietary fibre intakes than Belgian male adolescents (17.8 g/d), whereas Belgian adolescents had slightly higher dietary fibre intakes than Italian adolescents via 24 h dietary records (Belgium: men, 17.8 g/d; women, 15.0 g/d; Italy: men, 17.0 g/d; women, 14.0 g/d)⁽⁴²⁾.

According to two American national nutrition surveys using 24 h recalls (1988–91: all age categories; 1988–2004: adults), lower total fibre intakes were reported among the US population (14.0–16.7 and 16.0–19.0 g/d, respectively)^(43,44). Furthermore, based on a Japanese dietary survey among the general population, Japanese and Belgian men had similar fibre intakes, whereas Japanese women (14.7–21 g/d) consumed comparatively more fibres than Belgian women in all age groups⁽⁸⁾. However, Japanese men <30 years (13.7 g/d) consumed a substantially lower amount of fibres compared with the same Belgian population group (18.1 g/d).

In the present study, the most important contributors to dietary fibre intake were cereals and cereal products (bread, crispbread and rusks in particular), followed by potatoes and other tubers, vegetables and fruits (mainly fresh fruits). Similar food groups were identified as the major contributors in Italian adolescents⁽⁴²⁾. Results of the Spanish and Japanese national surveys, on the other hand, indicate that potato-derived fibre intakes contributed much more to the Belgian (14.4%, 3.3 g/d) than to Spanish and Japanese total fibre intakes (6.0%, approximately 1.1 g/d and approximately 1.5 g/d, respectively)^(8,41). While the contribution of cereal-derived fibres was higher for Belgians than for Spanish persons (34%, 5.9 g/d *v.* 28%, approximately 5.2 g/d), the contribution of fruit-derived fibres was considerably lower in Belgians compared with Spanish (14.7%, 2.8 g/d *v.* 22%, approximately 3.9 g/d). Additionally, the contribution of fruit-derived fibres in the Belgian was similar to that in the Japanese population (13.5%, 2.5 g/d). The fibre intakes from the remaining food groups, including legumes, nuts and seeds, and vegetables, were lower in this Belgium sample than in the other surveys. In particular, the consumption and contribution of legume-derived fibres were extremely low compared with Spanish (12.1%, approximately 2.2 g/d)⁽⁴¹⁾ and Japanese (14.1%, approximately 2.6 g/d)⁽⁸⁾ reports.

To the best of our knowledge, no published Belgian data are available describing dietary fibre intakes stratified by

Table 5. Stepwise multiple linear regression analysis of the potential associations between BMI and waist circumference (WC), and total and food group-specific fibre intakes among participants of the Belgian national food consumption survey (2004–5)

(Coefficients with their standard errors and 95 % confidence intervals)

	β Coefficient	SE	95 % CI	P
Dependent variable: BMI (kg/m ² ; n 3055)*†‡				
Food groups§				
Potatoes and other tubers				
Model 1	0.139	0.029	0.081, 0.197	<0.001
Model 2	0.022	0.023	-0.024, 0.067	0.353
Model 3	-0.009	0.028	-0.064, 0.046	0.754
Vegetables				
Model 1	0.112	0.037	0.039, 0.186	0.003
Model 2	0.065	0.034	-0.002, 0.131	0.057
Model 3	0.056	0.034	-0.010, 0.123	0.095
Fruits				
Model 1	0.107	0.025	0.058, 0.157	<0.001
Model 2	0.022	0.023	-0.024, 0.067	0.353
Model 3	0.024	0.023	-0.021, 0.069	0.297
Cereals and cereal products				
Model 1	-0.077	0.020	-0.118, -0.037	<0.001
Model 2	-0.045	0.020	-0.084, -0.006	0.025
Model 3	-0.019	0.022	-0.063, 0.025	0.391
Dependent variable: WC (cm; n 2875)*†‡				
Total fibre				
Model 1	0.172	0.037	0.099, 0.244	<0.001
Model 2	-0.091	0.030	-0.150, -0.032	0.003
Model 3	-0.118	0.032	-0.181, -0.055	<0.001
Food groups¶				
Potatoes and other tubers				
Model 1	0.876	0.102	0.676, 1.076	<0.001
Model 2	-0.003	0.086	-0.171, 0.165	0.972
Model 3	-0.028	0.085	-0.195, 0.140	0.744
Vegetables				
Model 1	0.288	0.131	0.031, 0.545	0.028
Model 2	0.063	0.105	-0.143, 0.269	0.548
Model 3	0.099	0.104	-0.105, 0.303	0.342
Fruits				
Model 1	0.334	0.087	0.164, 0.505	<0.001
Model 2	-0.066	0.070	-0.204, 0.072	0.349
Model 3	0.731	0.212	0.316, 1.146	0.001

* Model 1, unadjusted; model 2, adjusted for age, sex, region and level of education; model 3, model 2 further adjusted for total energy intake and interactions with and between the effect factors.

† Legume-derived fibre intake was not retained in the models for both BMI and WC, whereas total fibre intakes and cereal-derived fibre intakes were not retained in the model for BMI and WC, respectively.

‡ Women, lower secondary education and Walloon region are as reference in the models.

§ Model 2. Significantly positively associated variable: age; significantly negatively associated variables: sex, general secondary education, higher education, Flanders region and Brussels region. Model 3. Significantly positively associated variables: age, sex, higher education and Brussels region; significantly negatively associated variables: general secondary education and Flanders region. Significantly positively associated interactions: vocational, technical or art \times sex, higher education \times age and Brussels region \times age; significantly negatively associated interactions: sex \times age, vocational, technical or art \times age, general secondary education \times sex, general secondary education \times age, higher education \times sex, and cereal fibre \times vocational, technical or art.

|| Model 2. Significantly positively associated variable: age; significantly negatively associated variables: sex, general secondary education and Brussels region. Model 3. Significantly positively associated variables: age and Brussels region; significantly negatively associated variables: sex and general secondary education. Significantly positively associated interactions: general secondary education \times age and total fibre \times higher education; significantly negatively associated interactions: sex \times age, higher education \times sex and Brussels region \times age.

¶ Model 2. Significantly positively associated variable: age; significantly negatively associated variables: sex, general secondary education and higher education. Model 3. Significantly positively associated variables: age and higher education; significantly negatively associated variables: sex and general secondary education. Significantly positively associated interaction: general secondary education \times age; significantly negatively associated interactions: higher education \times age, Brussels region \times age, fruit fibre \times sex, cereal fibre \times vocational, technical or art, and cereal fibre \times general secondary education.

level of education. There are indications that a higher socio-economic status such as education, family income and occupational level is associated with higher intakes of dietary fibres^(45–49). Nevertheless, in our Belgian study, lower secondary educated men and higher educated women reported the highest total fibre intakes. In all sex–education groups, cereals and cereal products contributed most to the total fibre intake. The contribution of cereal products other than bread, crispbread or rusks was the lowest for lower secondary educated men and women, whereas higher educated persons consumed more fibres from vegetables and fruits. In general, potato-derived fibre intakes decreased with the level of education. Moreover, some recent studies have observed that fibre intake through the consumption of vegetables, fruits and cereals increases with participants' socio-economic status and education level^(45,48).

Associations between dietary fibre intake and BMI and waist circumference

Although BMI is not an accurate indicator of body composition, it is a well-known predictor of obesity in the general population⁽⁵⁰⁾. WC is a better and stronger predictor of abdominal obesity and obesity-related health risks⁽⁵¹⁾. Hence, in the present study, both BMI and WC were used in order to find more precise associations between dietary fibre intake and body composition.

There are indications that the consumption of dietary fibres may have beneficial effects, such as lowering body weight, BMI and WC^(52–62). In the present study, total dietary fibre intakes were significantly inversely associated with WC, but not with BMI. In line with the present findings, Ventura *et al.*⁽⁶²⁾ reported that higher total dietary fibre intakes correlated with lower WC. Moreover, Liese *et al.*⁽⁵⁶⁾ found that total fibre intakes were inversely associated with both BMI ($\beta = -0.795$, $P=0.013$) and WC ($\beta = -1.9$, $P=0.008$) after adjustment for age, sex and socio-economic status. Du *et al.*⁽⁶⁵⁾ observed that dietary fibre intakes were inversely associated with body-weight gain and WC increases among Europeans. Conversely, one cross-sectional study involving adults aged 60–80 years and one randomised controlled trial involving breast cancer patients aged 18–70 years reported no significant effects of dietary fibres on BMI or body weight^(57,60). Additionally, in one recent cross-sectional study involving 5783 Chinese adults aged 20–59 years, higher total dietary fibre intakes correlated with increased BMI⁽⁵⁹⁾.

Although cereal-derived fibre intake was the most important contributor in all sex–age and sex–education groups in the present study, it was not significantly associated with BMI nor WC. A European prospective cohort study⁽⁶³⁾, however, suggested that fibres derived from cereals, more than those from vegetables or fruits, may have a role in the prevention of body-weight and WC gain. In addition, recent studies have found that cereal-derived fibre intakes were inversely associated with BMI and WC^(9,16,57,64). A recent systematic review has suggested that the consumption of cereal fibre led to more health benefits in the prevention of type 2 diabetes mellitus by improving insulin sensitivity and increased

bowel movements without adverse effects⁽⁶⁵⁾. Furthermore, one prospective cohort study and one cross-over study have stated that a high cereal fibre intake with a low glycaemic load was inversely associated with the risk of type 2 diabetes compared with lower cereal fibre intake and higher glycaemic load^(66,67). Surprisingly, in the present study, the intake of fruit-derived fibres was positively associated with WC. Conversely, McKeown *et al.*⁽⁵⁷⁾ found no significant associations between the intake of fibres from vegetables or fruits and body composition. Moreover, some recent studies have described an inverse relationship between fruit- and vegetable-derived fibre intakes and body weight and BMI^(68,69). According to recent reviews, high fibre intakes through the consumption of vegetables and fruits would have no direct effect on body weight, but may exert indirectly health-promoting activities related to body composition^(70,71).

In the present study, we controlled for potential modifier effects and observed that age, sex, region, education, energy intake and certain interactions affected the linear association between dietary fibre intakes and BMI and WC. Similarly, region, age, sex and level of education have been described as potential confounding factors for the effect of fibre intake on measures of obesity⁽⁵²⁾. Byrd-Williams *et al.*⁽⁵³⁾ found that dietary fibre intakes were inversely associated with the BMI of men and women (18–24 years), and with the WC of men. Howarth *et al.*⁽⁵⁵⁾, on the other hand, reported that dietary fibre intakes were inversely associated with the BMI of women aged 20–59 years, but not of men in the same age range.

Strengths and limitations

This national nutrition survey can be seen as the largest survey covering all the provinces and language regions in Belgium so far. The present study is the first one evaluating dietary fibre intake stratified by sex–age and sex–education, as well as assessing the association with anthropometric indices including BMI and WC in the Belgian population.

Yet, some limitations of the present study are the low response rate (42%) and the use of two 24 h recalls to assess dietary fibre intakes. Although, no doubt, willingness to participate leads to some selection bias as volunteers are generally more concerned with health and diet than others, the present study population represents a more general population of Belgium in comparison with other studies, which are mostly restricted to local areas. In addition, all seasons and days of the week were almost equally represented. Another limitation related to the sampling is the broad age range of the young adult group (19–59 years), which could lead to imprecise interpretations of the results obtained for that age group. Differences attributed to this age group may be applicable only to a smaller subset with more significant differences, and this may have implications for policy recommendations.

A limitation of 24 h dietary recalls is that it does not allow quantifying proportions of non-consumers for particular food items, *a fortiori* for infrequently consumed foods. Moreover, accuracy of collected data relies on the individual's

ability to remember foods and beverages consumed in the past 24 h, and might, therefore, be biased towards misreporting. In this respect, the 24 h dietary recalls were performed through computer-assisted EPIC-SOFT and face-to-face interviews to guide the participants to report all their consumption, even the easily forgotten snacks.

Another limitation of this survey is the fact that weight and height were self-reported. However, the strength of this survey is that WC was measured by trained dietitians. When interpreting the results, it could be that this difference in recording method (self-reported *v.* measured) is partly responsible for the differences found between BMI and WC in the present study. Yet, the inclusion of both measures is an important strength of the present study, as these are not similar but complementary parameters for body composition due to their independent contribution to the prediction of total and abdominal obesity⁽⁷²⁾.

Additionally, a large number of missing values of WC might be a factor leading to a biased sample, as obese people might more often refuse to have a WC measurement. Then, the underestimated WC could bias the association between WC and dietary fibre intake in the present study. However, the authors performed some additional sensitivity analyses (results not shown), which showed no significant differences in BMI or fibre intake between the group with missing WC data and those included in the WC analyses.

At last, it is noteworthy that soluble and insoluble dietary fibre intake should be analysed separately for further knowledge, but due to the information in the food composition databases, this was not possible. Biomarkers as well need to be considered to investigate the association with dietary fibre intake and glycaemic index in future studies.

Recommendations

The present study findings indicate unfavourably low fibre intakes among our Belgian population. Enhancing the daily amount of vegetables, fruits, legumes, nuts and seeds could contribute importantly to higher fibre intakes. However, in the battle against obesity, one should keep in mind that the total energy intake should not be influenced by the enhancement of these food groups, which could necessitate a concomitant decrease in other (less fibre-rich and energy-dense) foods such as soft drinks, candies and refined bakery products. If public health policies for the increase in fibre intakes are to be effective, policy development and implementation need to target the main sources of dietary fibre in various populations. Also manufacturers could be stimulated to reformulate existing products to incorporate more whole grains.

Conclusion

This survey provides information on the consumption of total and food group-specific fibres in Belgium. The most important contributor was cereals and cereal products. Total fibre intakes were lower than the recommended intake in all sex–age and sex–education groups. The dietary fibre intakes increased with age. Lower educated men and higher educated women

consumed most dietary fibre. Although these results show that Belgians did not consume enough fibre, a significant inverse relationship was observed between total fibre and cereal-derived fibre intakes and WC.

Acknowledgements

The present study was supported by the Alpro Foundation. The food consumption survey was funded by the Federal Ministry of Health, Food Chain Safety and Environment. Y. L. performed and interpreted the statistical analyses and drafted the manuscript. A. P. and M. D. N., the dietitians of our team, were responsible for the data linking with the food composition databases. S. D. V., H. V. O. and I. H. were responsible for the coordination of the fieldwork of the Belgian food consumption survey, and G. D. B. and S. D. H. were involved in the conceptualisation of the national food consumption survey. All other authors helped in the evaluation of the results and the writing of the manuscript. All authors have evaluated and approved the final version of the manuscript. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interests.

References

- Cummings JH, Mann JI, Nishida C, *et al.* (2009) Dietary fibre: an agreed definition. *Lancet* **373**, 365–366.
- Rees G, Davies J, Thompson R, *et al.* (2005) Randomised-controlled trial of a fibre supplement on the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome. *J R Soc Promot Health* **125**, 30–34.
- Tan KY & Seow-Choen F (2007) Fiber and colorectal diseases: separating fact from fiction. *World J Gastroenterol* **13**, 4161–4167.
- Tarini J & Wolever TM (2010) The fermentable fibre inulin increases postprandial serum short-chain fatty acids and reduces free-fatty acids and ghrelin in healthy subjects. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* **35**, 9–16.
- Alexandre A & Miguel M (2008) Dietary fibre in the prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome: a review. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* **48**, 905–912.
- Mathern JR, Raatz SK, Thomas W, *et al.* (2009) Effect of fenugreek fiber on satiety, blood glucose and insulin response and energy intake in obese subjects. *Phytother Res* **23**, 1543–1548.
- Chandalia M, Garg A, Lutjohann D, *et al.* (2000) Beneficial effects of high dietary fibre intake in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. *N Engl J Med* **342**, 1392–1398.
- Fukuda S, Saito H, Nakaji S, *et al.* (2007) Pattern of dietary fibre intake among the Japanese general population. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **61**, 99–103.
- Newby PK, Maras J, Bakun P, *et al.* (2007) Intake of whole grains, refined grains, and cereal fibre measured with 7-d diet records and associations with risk factors for chronic disease. *Am J Clin Nutr* **86**, 1745–1753.
- Anderson JW, Baird P, Davis RH, *et al.* (2009) Health benefits of dietary fiber. *Nutr Rev* **67**, 188–205.
- Ludwig DS (2002) The glycemic index: physiological mechanisms relating to obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. *JAMA* **287**, 2414–2423.
- Davis JN, Hodges VA & Gillham MB (2006) Normal-weight adults consume more fiber and fruit than their age- and height-matched overweight/obese counterparts. *J Am Diet Assoc* **106**, 833–840.
- Deshmukh-Taskar PR, Nicklas TA, O'Neil CE, *et al.* (2010) The relationship of breakfast skipping and type of breakfast consumption with nutrient intake and weight status in children and adolescents: the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1999–2006. *J Am Diet Assoc* **110**, 869–878.
- Krishnan S, Rosenberg L, Singer M, *et al.* (2007) Glycemic index, glycemic load, and cereal fibre intake and risk of type 2 diabetes in US black women. *Arch Intern Med* **167**, 2304–2309.
- Ventura E, Davis J, Byrd-Williams C, *et al.* (2009) Reduction in risk factors for type 2 diabetes mellitus in response to a low-sugar, high-fiber dietary intervention in overweight Latino adolescents. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med* **163**, 320–327.
- McKeown NM, Meigs JB, Liu S, *et al.* (2004) Carbohydrate nutrition, insulin resistance, and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome in the Framingham Offspring Cohort. *Diabetes Care* **27**, 538–546.
- Federal Public Service for Public Health & Food-Chain Safety and Environment (2006) Dietary recommendations for Belgium. https://portal.health.fgov.be/portal/page?_pageid=56,4190386&_dad=portal&_schema=PORTAL&_menu=menu_3_1 (accessed March 2010).
- World Health Organization (2009) Population nutrient intake goals for preventing diet-related chronic diseases. http://www.who.int/dietphysicalactivity/publications/trs916/en/gsfao_overall.pdf (accessed December 2009).
- World Health Organization (WHO) (1998) *Preparation and Use of Food-based Dietary Guidelines*. Report of a Joint FAO/WHO Consultation. 880, pp. i–108. Geneva: WHO.
- Vandevijvere S, De Vriese S, Huybrechts I, *et al.* (2009) The gap between food-based dietary guidelines and usual food consumption in Belgium, 2004. *Public Health Nutr* **12**, 423–431.
- Huybrechts I & De Henauw S (2007) Energy and nutrient intakes by pre-school children in Flanders-Belgium. *Br J Nutr* **98**, 600–610.
- Matthys C, De Henauw S, Devos C, *et al.* (2003) Estimated energy intake, macronutrient intake and meal pattern of Flemish adolescents. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 366–375.
- Charafeddine R, Van Oyen H & Demarest S (2009) Trends in social inequalities in obesity: Belgium, 1997 to 2004. *Prev Med* **48**, 54–58.
- Stam-Moraga MC, Kolanowski J, Dramaix M, *et al.* (1999) Sociodemographic and nutritional determinants of obesity in Belgium. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* **23**, Suppl. 1, 1–9.
- De Vriese S, De Backer G, De Henauw S, *et al.* (2005) The Belgian food consumption survey: aims, design and methods. *Arch Public Health* **63**, 1–16.
- Brussaard JH, Johansson L & Kearney J (2002) Rationale and methods of the EFCOSUM project. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**, Suppl. 2, S4–S7.
- Slimani N & Valsta L (2002) Perspectives of using the EPIC-SOFT programme in the context of pan-European nutritional monitoring surveys: methodological and practical implications. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **56**, Suppl. 2, S63–S74.
- Butler RC & Patel J (2000) A direction in dietary fibre analysis. *Nutr Food Sci* **30**, 221–226.
- NUBEL (2004) *Belgium Food Composition Table*, 4th ed. Brussel: Ministry of Public Health (in Dutch).
- NEVO (2003) *NEVO-Table, Dutch Food Composition Table 2003*. Zeist: NEVO Foundation (in Dutch).
- US Department of Agriculture (USDA) & US Department of Health and Human Services (2005) Dietary Guidelines for

- Americans. www.healthier.us.gov/dietaryguidelines (accessed December 2009).
32. World Health Organization (2007) Body mass index (BMI). http://www.euro.who.int/nutrition/20030507_1 (accessed December 2009).
 33. Cole TJ, Bellizzi MC, Flegal KM, *et al.* (2000) Establishing a standard definition for child overweight and obesity worldwide: international survey. *Br Med J* **320**, 1240–1243.
 34. Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Antropogenetica, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, & Jeugdgezondheidszorg (2004) Growth Charts, Flanders 2004. <http://www.vub.ac.be/groecurven/english.html> (accessed December 2009).
 35. Lean ME, Han TS & Morrison CE (1995) Waist circumference as a measure for indicating need for weight management. *Br Med J* **311**, 158–161.
 36. Taylor RW, Jones IE, Williams SM, *et al.* (2000) Evaluation of waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio, and the conicity index as screening tools for high trunk fat mass, as measured by dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, in children aged 3–19 y. *Am J Clin Nutr* **72**, 490–495.
 37. Trumbo P, Schlicker S, Yates AA, *et al.* (2002) Dietary reference intakes for energy, carbohydrate, fiber, fat, fatty acids, cholesterol, protein and amino acids. *J Am Diet Assoc* **102**, 1621–1630.
 38. British Nutrition Foundation (2010) Dietary fibre. <http://www.nutrition.org.uk/nutritionscience/nutrients/dietary-fibre?start=4> (accessed January 2010).
 39. Williams CL, Bollella M & Wynder EL (1995) A new recommendation for dietary fiber in childhood. *Pediatrics* **96**, 985–988.
 40. Elmadfa I & Freisling H (2009) Nutritional status in Europe: methods and results. *Nutr Rev* **67**, Suppl. 1, S130–S134.
 41. Serra-Majem L, Ribas-Barba L, Salvador G, *et al.* (2007) Trends in energy and nutrient intake and risk of inadequate intakes in Catalonia, Spain (1992–2003). *Public Health Nutr* **10**, 1354–1367.
 42. Leclercq C, Piccinelli R, Arcella D, *et al.* (2004) Food consumption and nutrient intake in a sample of Italian secondary school students: results from the INRAN-RM-2001 food survey. *Int J Food Sci Nutr* **55**, 265–277.
 43. Alaimo K, McDowell MA, Briefel RR, *et al.* (1994) Dietary intake of vitamins, minerals, and fiber of persons ages 2 months and over in the United States: Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, Phase 1, 1988–91. *Adv Data* **1**–28.
 44. Oza-Frank R, Cheng YJ, Narayan KM, *et al.* (2009) Trends in nutrient intake among adults with diabetes in the United States: 1988–2004. *J Am Diet Assoc* **109**, 1173–1178.
 45. Bhargava A (2004) Socio-economic and behavioural factors are predictors of food use in the National Food Stamp Program Survey. *Br J Nutr* **92**, 497–506.
 46. Hjartaker A & Lund E (1998) Relationship between dietary habits, age, lifestyle, and socio-economic status among adult Norwegian women. The Norwegian Women and Cancer Study. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **52**, 565–572.
 47. Hulshof KF, Brussaard JH, Kruizinga AG, *et al.* (2003) Socio-economic status, dietary intake and 10 y trends: the Dutch National Food Consumption Survey. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **57**, 128–137.
 48. Lang R & Jebb SA (2003) Who consumes whole grains, and how much? *Proc Nutr Soc* **62**, 123–127.
 49. Zhang H, Hsu-Hage BH & Wahlqvist ML (2002) Longitudinal changes in nutrient intakes in the Melbourne Chinese Cohort Study. *Public Health Nutr* **5**, 433–439.
 50. Mafra D, Guebre-Egziabher F & Fouque D (2008) Body mass index, muscle and fat in chronic kidney disease: questions about survival. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* **23**, 2461–2466.
 51. Chan DC, Watts GF, Barrett PH, *et al.* (2003) Waist circumference, waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index as predictors of adipose tissue compartments in men. *QJM* **96**, 441–447.
 52. Affenito SG, Thompson DR, Barton BA, *et al.* (2005) Breakfast consumption by African-American and white adolescent girls correlates positively with calcium and fibre intake and negatively with body mass index. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 938–945.
 53. Byrd-Williams CE, Strother ML, Kelly LA, *et al.* (2009) Dietary fibre and associations with adiposity and fasting insulin among college students with plausible dietary reports. *Nutrition* **25**, 896–904.
 54. Duvigneaud N, Wijndaele K, Matton L, *et al.* (2007) Dietary factors associated with obesity indicators and level of sports participation in Flemish adults: a cross-sectional study. *Nutr J* **6**, 26.
 55. Howarth NC, Huang TT, Roberts SB, *et al.* (2005) Dietary fibre and fat are associated with excess weight in young and middle-aged US adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* **105**, 1365–1372.
 56. Liese AD, Schulz M, Fang F, *et al.* (2005) Dietary glycemic index and glycemic load, carbohydrate and fiber intake, and measures of insulin sensitivity, secretion, and adiposity in the Insulin Resistance Atherosclerosis Study. *Diabetes Care* **28**, 2832–2838.
 57. McKeown NM, Yoshida M, Shea MK, *et al.* (2009) Whole-grain intake and cereal fibre are associated with lower abdominal adiposity in older adults. *J Nutr* **139**, 1950–1955.
 58. Murakami K, Sasaki S, Okubo H, *et al.* (2007) Dietary fibre intake, dietary glycemic index and load, and body mass index: a cross-sectional study of 3931 Japanese women aged 18–20 years. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **61**, 986–995.
 59. Stookey JD (2001) Energy density, energy intake and weight status in a large free-living sample of Chinese adults: exploring the underlying roles of fat, protein, carbohydrate, fiber and water intakes. *Eur J Clin Nutr* **55**, 349–359.
 60. Thomson CA, Rock CL, Giuliano AR, *et al.* (2005) Longitudinal changes in body weight and body composition among women previously treated for breast cancer consuming a high-vegetable, fruit and fiber, low-fat diet. *Eur J Nutr* **44**, 18–25.
 61. Tucker LA & Thomas KS (2009) Increasing total fiber intake reduces risk of weight and fat gains in women. *J Nutr* **139**, 576–581.
 62. Ventura EE, Davis JN, Alexander KE, *et al.* (2008) Dietary intake and the metabolic syndrome in overweight Latino children. *J Am Diet Assoc* **108**, 1355–1359.
 63. Du H, van der ADL, Boshuizen HC, *et al.* (2009) Dietary fibre and subsequent changes in body weight and waist circumference in European men and women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **91**, 329–336.
 64. Good CK, Holschuh N, Albertson AM, *et al.* (2008) Whole grain consumption and body mass index in adult women: an analysis of NHANES 1999–2000 and the USDA pyramid servings database. *J Am Coll Nutr* **27**, 80–87.
 65. Park Y, Brinton LA, Subar AF, *et al.* (2009) Dietary fibre intake and risk of breast cancer in postmenopausal women: the National Institutes of Health-AARP Diet and Health Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **90**, 664–671.
 66. Fung TT, Hu FB, Pereira MA, *et al.* (2002) Whole-grain intake and the risk of type 2 diabetes: a prospective study in men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**, 535–540.

67. Samra RA & Anderson GH (2007) Insoluble cereal fiber reduces appetite and short-term food intake and glycemic response to food consumed 75 min later by healthy men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **86**, 972–979.
68. Koh-Banerjee P, Franz M, Sampson L, *et al.* (2004) Changes in whole-grain, bran, and cereal fiber consumption in relation to 8-y weight gain among men. *Am J Clin Nutr* **80**, 1237–1245.
69. Sartorelli DS, Franco LJ & Cardoso MA (2008) High intake of fruits and vegetables predicts weight loss in Brazilian overweight adults. *Nutr Res* **28**, 233–238.
70. Feldeisen SE & Tucker KL (2007) Nutritional strategies in the prevention and treatment of metabolic syndrome. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* **32**, 46–60.
71. Kaline K, Bornstein SR, Bergmann A, *et al.* (2007) The importance and effect of dietary fibre in diabetes prevention with particular consideration of whole grain products. *Horm Metab Res* **39**, 687–693.
72. Janssen I, Heymsfield SB, Allison DB, *et al.* (2002) Body mass index and waist circumference independently contribute to the prediction of nonabdominal, abdominal subcutaneous, and visceral fat. *Am J Clin Nutr* **75**, 683–688.